- (3) Is accompanied by the fee to institute required in § 42.15(a).
- (b) Incomplete petition. Where a party files an incomplete petition, no filing date will be accorded, and the Office will dismiss the petition if the deficiency in the petition is not corrected within one month from the notice of an incomplete petition.

\$42.107 Preliminary response to petition.

- (a) The patent owner may file a preliminary response to the petition. The response is limited to setting forth the reasons why no *inter partes* review should be instituted under 35 U.S.C. 314. The response can include evidence except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section. The preliminary response is subject to the page limits under § 42.24.
- (b) *Due date*. The preliminary response must be filed no later than three months after the date of a notice indicating that the request to institute an *inter partes* review has been granted a filing date. A patent owner may expedite the proceeding by filing an election to waive the patent owner preliminary response.
- (c) No new testimonial evidence. The preliminary response shall not present new testimony evidence beyond that already of record, except as authorized by the Board.
- (d) No amendment. The preliminary response shall not include any amendment.
- (e) Disclaim Patent Claims. The patent owner may file a statutory disclaimer under 35 U.S.C. 253(a) in compliance with §1.321(a) of this chapter, disclaiming one or more claims in the patent. No *inter partes* review will be instituted based on disclaimed claims.

Instituting Inter Partes Review

§ 42.108 Institution of *inter partes* review.

- (a) When instituting *inter partes* review, the Board may authorize the review to proceed on all or some of the challenged claims and on all or some of the grounds of unpatentability asserted for each claim.
- (b) At any time prior to institution of inter partes review, the Board may

deny some or all grounds for unpatentability for some or all of the challenged claims. Denial of a ground is a Board decision not to institute *inter partes* review on that ground.

(c) Sufficient grounds. Inter partes review shall not be instituted for a ground of unpatentability unless the Board decides that the petition supporting the ground would demonstrate that there is a reasonable likelihood that at least one of the claims challenged in the petition is unpatentable. The Board's decision will take into account a patent owner preliminary response where such a response is filed.

AFTER INSTITUTION OF Inter Partes
REVIEW

§ 42.120 Patent owner response.

- (a) *Scope*. A patent owner may file a response to the petition addressing any ground for unpatentability not already denied. A patent owner response is filed as an opposition and is subject to the page limits provided in §42.24.
- (b) Due date for response. If no time for filing a patent owner response to a petition is provided in a Board order, the default date for filing a patent owner response is three months from the date the *inter partes* review was instituted.

$\S 42.121$ Amendment of the patent.

- (a) Motion to amend. A patent owner may file one motion to amend a patent, but only after conferring with the Board.
- (1) *Due date*. Unless a due date is provided in a Board order, a motion to amend must be filed no later than the filing of a patent owner response.
- (2) *Scope*. A motion to amend may be denied where:
- (i) The amendment does not respond to a ground of unpatentability involved in the trial: or
- (ii) The amendment seeks to enlarge the scope of the claims of the patent or introduce new subject matter.
- (3) A reasonable number of substitute claims. A motion to amend may cancel a challenged claim or propose a reasonable number of substitute claims. The presumption is that only one substitute claim would be needed to replace each challenged claim, and it